

Investigation of EIA Methodologies in Water Resources Management Projects in Arid Regions and Selection the optimized Combined Method

Sadegh Partani

Kargosha Research Group of Urban Human Ecology, Tehran, Iran
S_Partani@ub.ac.ir

Mozhdeh Rabbani

Kargosha Research Group of Urban Human Ecology, Tehran, Iran
rabbani.m.m.94@gmail.com

Atieh Mahmoudi Mozafar

Kargosha Research Group of Urban Human Ecology, Tehran, Iran
Atie_mahmudi@ut.ac.ir

ABSTRACT

Today Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is known as one of the most important tools for decision makers in the construction of civil and industrial projects towards sustainable development. In the past, projects were evaluated based on cost and benefit analysis regardless of the physical and biological environmental effects and its socio-economical impacts. Water resources management projects in arid and semi-arid regions have direct effect on the water crisis and future sanitary problems. Several activities are required in an EIA study, including impact identification, preparation of a description of the affected environment, impact prediction and assessment which all of them have many methods in study. There are many scenarios in evaluation of their method in every where. Weight, importance, intensity, time period of effects, affected zone etc which are variable in EIA study approach in arid region regarding to water crisis and demand and resources function. In this research five main categories of EIA methods like matrices methods, decision analysis, Kane simulation model, overlay method, check list and network methods and

their sub methods have been investigated. The results of this research led us to decision making in adopting the optimized and useful method in arid regions according to a 3D function of demand, consumption and resources of water. So that EIA study on water resources management projects in arid regions should be carried out depend on the time and period of year and location of the project according to rule curve of demand and generation potential.

Keywords: EIA, 3D function of demand, consumption and resources, Water resources management projects, arid and semi-arid regions

1. Introduction

A comprehensive Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), since 1971 mandatory in a growing number of ICOLD¹ member countries, ought to become standard procedure everywhere as part of project conceptualization, that is well before final design and the start of construction [1].

Economic, Social, Physical and Environmental change is inherent to development.

Whilst development aims to bring about positive change it can lead to conflicts. In the past, the promotion of economic growth as the motor for increased well-being was the main development thrust with little sensitivity to adverse social or environmental impacts. The need to avoid adverse impacts and to ensure long term benefits led to the concept of sustainability. This has become accepted as an essential feature of development if the aim of increased well-being and greater equity in fulfilling basic needs is to be met for this and future

generations. The imperative for development to remedy these defects may be so great that consequent environmental degradation may be tolerated. With Iran as a water scarce country, with a mean annual rainfall of below 250 mm, the use of water has been critical to the development of the country's industrial base and wider economy and will remain so in future. However, due to past exploitation, and the increasing demand for water, the regulation of our water resources is essential [2]. Literatures indicate that the most negative impacts of large dam construction are loss in the ecological/biodiversity [3]:

a) Impact on the ecology of the freshwater system,

b) Loss in water bird habitat.

World Bank's estimate that roughly ten million people are displaced each year due to dam construction, urban development, and transportation and infrastructure programs [4]: This number is shockingly high, but it still fails to account for large numbers of the displaced. Displacement tallies almost always refer only to persons physically ousted from legally acquired land in order to make way for the planned project, ignoring those living in the vicinity of, or downstream from, projects, whose livelihoods and socio-cultural milieu might be adversely affected by the project [5].

It is during the dam operation phase - which can typically span 50 to 100 years - that the most severe impacts on fisheries and aquatic environments take place [6]. Produced comprehensive reviews of dam impacts on fisheries and aquatic ecology at global level, while carried out detailed analysis of the impacts of dams on aquatic environment and fisheries in Africa and South-east Asia. Impacts can be grouped into two categories: 1) impacts which affect fish directly, and 2) impacts which affect the fisheries environments (upstream river, reservoir, downstream river, estuary, delta, sea) in some manner that leads to a deterioration in fish biodiversity, fish stocks and/or fisheries production. Thus a proper EIA report can predict the all environmental effects and propose the possible techniques for mitigation or reduction of negative effects [6].

There are three principal methods for identifying environmental effects and impacts: Checklists are comprehensive lists of environmental effects and impact indicators designed to stimulate the analyst to think broadly about possible consequences of contemplated actions. This strength can also be a weakness, however, because it may lead the analyst to ignore factors that are not on the lists. Checklists are found in one form or another in nearly all EIA methods. One of the most comprehensive is published in the United States [7]. Matrices typically employ a list of human actions in addition to a list of impact indicators. The two are related in a matrix which can be used to identify (to a limited extent) cause-and-effect relationships and flow diagrams are sometimes used to identify action-effect- impact relationships. The flow diagram permits the analyst to visualize the connection between action and impact. In this research the above three methods were used and the results were compared [2].

The forth and fifth National Development Program (NDP) of Iran call for the uniform protection of all significant water resources, and places emphasis on resource sustainability and integrated water resource management. Department of Environment (DOE) of Iran also required the necessity of EIA report for all hydro-electric projects to assist in their decision-making process. In this paper socio-economical and the environmental impact assessment of the Gotvand hydro-electrical dam has been evaluated. This dam is one of the largest dams in Iran with a volume of 4.5 billion m³ and is going to be the last dam on the Karoon River in the south of Iran. In this paper all socio economical and environmental effects of the dam during the construction and operation of the hydro electric dam have been discussed.

Of the types of development projects that bring about physical displacement, dams and their related infrastructure, including power stations and irrigation canals, stand out as the largest contributor to displace. All dams and reservoirs as many other human activities, become a part of their environment which they influence and transform to a degree and

within a range that vary from project to project. Frequently seeming to be in opposition, but not necessarily irreconcilable, dams and their environment interrelate with a degree of complexity that makes the task of the dam engineer particularly difficult. The solution must be to find the golden mean by striking a balance between divergent and sometimes contradictory goals. We need dams and the many benefits which their reservoirs offer all over the world, by storing water in times of surplus and dispensing it in times of scarcity. Dams prevent or mitigate devastating floods and catastrophic droughts. They adjust natural runoff with its seasonal variations and climatic irregularities to meet the pattern of demand for irrigated agriculture, power generation, domestic and industrial supply and navigation.

The objective of the Joshi (Joshi & LATIF, 2004) study was to assess the environmental impacts of the Kalni-Kushiyara River Management Project (KKRMP) in Bangladesh. They have used Two methods - a conventional matrix method and a more sophisticated Multi Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) method - in this study. They found that MCDM method yields a more holistic evaluation of the system, and a ranking in decreasing order of environmental soundness of various future options

The results of Ramachandran's research (Ramachandran et al., 2018) showed higher concentration of TDS, EC, Na, Cl, which indicated signs of deterioration but in the values of pH, Ca and Mg, were within permissible limit as per standards. Also, They have used the geochemical processes piper and gibbs plot for the study.

The main purpose of Adgolign's paper (Adgolign & Rao, 2014) was to review the current level of understanding of environmental impact assessment of water resources development; to assess the major challenges to sustainable environmental systems from water resources development perspectives, and to identify major environmental issues that need to be considered in sustainable water resources planning and development

Environmental Impact Assessment concept in India came in the year 1994 and

amendment in the notification about three times is the clear indication that concerned people and organization are not taking a breathe after introducing Environmental Impact Assessment in India. They are constantly monitoring and taking corrective action (Siddiqui et al., 2008) to make this Environmental Impact Assessment concept a real working tool to protect the environment.

2. Project description

The Gotvand Dam which is going to be constructed in the next few years as the last dam on Karoon River is located within the Khozestan Province South of Iran. This dam will have a surface of 96.5 km² with an approximate water volume of 4445 million m³ for the purposes of hydroelectric energy with the capacity of 4250 million KW, agricultural and recreational uses.

3. Methodology

3.1. Data Gathering

The research method involved formal and informal interviews with key informants within formal and indigenous institutions. These included key government officials, university professors, environmental consultants, nongovernmental organizations, and traditional leaders. Open-ended questions designed to identify constraints to EIA practice in the country were posed. These were supplemented with content analysis of secondary data and information from official publications and other related literature. Transcripts of interviews, field notes, and relevant literature were analyzed on the basis of themes and patterns of interrelationships among responses that addressed the research goal [8].

3.2. EIA Analyzing Method

The environmental assessment of Gotvand dam and its related installations began by analyzing the available environmental resources. In analyzing the environmental resources of watershed basins of region, the available maps together with land works were used. Furthermore, in the environmental impact analysis of the dam and its related installations, the method of ICOLD matrix was used. The aims of the prediction and

evaluation stages of the EIA procedure are to identify those activities most likely to occur, and to determine the likely importance of these impacts, be they positive or negative. All the implementing activities in connection with the dam construction was predicted in the method, and after the land visit to the studying areas, a list of impacted environmental factors was made ready [2, 9]. The EIA evaluation was made for the important phases during the construction and after operation. The negative impacts of each activity on the environmental resources was then evaluated, and the results were examined. In this method, the environmental resources, which will be affected by “much” and “very much” negative impacts, have been identified. The activities, resulting in resources destruction, have been then specified (Table 1). Magnitude is a quantifiable measure of the size of an impact, and it can be defined as the degree of movement away from the baseline state of the specific environmental ICOLD has prepared a large and comprehensive matrix for use in EIA studies for dams. The system of symbols for each box shows: whether the impact is beneficial or detrimental; the scale of the impact; the probability of occurrence; the time-scale of occurrence; and, whether the design has taken the impact into account, [1]. This comprehensive approach, however, makes the final output rather difficult to use and a maximum of three criteria is recommended per impact to maintain clarity. Ahmad and Sammy suggest that the most important criteria are: magnitude, or degree of change; geographical extent; significance; and, special sensitivity [10]. "Significance" could be further sub-divided to indicate why an impact is significant. For example, it may be because of irreversibility, economic

vulnerability; a threat to rare species etc. "Special sensitivity" refers to locally important issues component under consideration. Here the magnitude is expressed on a scale of 1 to 3. Significance is defined as a measure of how important the assessor feels any movement away from the baseline conditions to be. Significance is expressed on a scale ranging from highly detrimental (-5), through negligible (0), to highly beneficial (+5). On the basis of these scores all activities can be ordinal ranked[11].

In order to aid completion of the matrix, domain specific information is presented to the user simultaneous to completing the matrix. This information is of three types: textual information specific to each environmental component (i.e. the vertical axis of the matrix), rule-based information relating to the primary and higher order impacts of specific activities (the horizontal component of the matrix), and baseline biophysical and socio-economic data relating to the project's location (further details are given below). This information is held within a relational database comprised of tables relating to environmental components, project types, activities, impacts, mitigation measures and their inter-relationships. In addition the database contains textual information on each key item, i.e. activities and impacts. In the end, simple and implementable management mechanisms and strategies have been suggested to mitigate the negative impacts resulted from the project implementation. Thus, proper environmental management in the watershed of the region depends on the careful and complete implementation of these proposed mechanisms [12].

Table1. The activities, resulting in resources destruction

Surface water hydrology	Aquatic habitats	Water supply	Socio-economics
Surface water quality	Aquatic wildlife	Food supply	Infrastructure
Groundwater hydrology	Terrestrial habitats	Fuel supply	Resettlement
Soil erosion	Terrestrial wildlife	Navigation	Indigenous cultures
Soil fertility	Coastal habitats	Recreation	Aesthetics
Geology	Marine life	Flood control	Noise
Sedimentation	Forests	Irrigation/drainage	Public health
Air quality	Protected areas	Agriculture	Nutrition
	Endangered species	Aquaculture	Archaeology
		Agro-industry	

4. Results and Discussion

An assessment of the potential and existing impacts of the extraction of water from the Gotvand Dam on the surrounding environment was based on existing information and specialist studies, the existing and potential impacts have been assessed as shown in table II.

As indicated in table 2, the positive environmental effect received 12 crosses while the negative impact possible get 16 crosses; then it may be concluded that the Gotvand dam construction will have negative impact for the environment especially on biological element. however the number of positive impact especially in term of socio-economical impact significantly affect its negative ones.

The environmental effects of the Gotvand Dam construction on biological, physical and socioeconomic aspects using ICOLD technique is shown in table III, IV and V, respectively.

The description of each sign, item and abbreviation of the ICOLD technique in table III, IV and V is as the following [2].

I, II, III determine the priority of the waster consumption, the sign + and – show the negative or positive impact, no. 1, 2, and 3

indicate low, medium and high impacts respectively, C indicates the Certain impact, P indicates the Probable impact, I indicates the Improbable impact N shows the Non probable impact, P shows the permanent and T indicates the Temperate impact, L, M and I define Long term, Middle term and Instantaneous effect and finally Y shows the yield impact and N not defined impacts.

Based on above description the ICOLD matrices shown in tables 3, 4 and 5 indicate the impacts of each activity of the Gotvand dam on physical, biological and socio-economical environment.

The table III biological impacts demonstrates that (E501) vegetal destruction has the maximum effect while fun (E 506) and flora ranked less negative impacts.

The table IV physical impacts shows that (A207) vegetal destruction, (A208) raw material supply and (A301) discharging water vegetal area have the high negative impacts.

Water use in agriculture (A 101), tourism (A 403), industrial development (A415), fish industry (A 401) and infrastructure construction for the region (A 405) have the greatest positive impacts.

Vegetal destruction (A 207) has very negative impacts on the physical, biological and socio-economical environment.

A change in the type, distribution and coverage of vegetation may occur given a change in the climate; this much is obvious. However, to what extent particular plant life changes, dies or thrives, depends largely on the model of prediction used. In any given scenario, a mild change in climate may result in increased precipitation and warmth, resulting in improved plant growth and the subsequent sequestration of airborne CO₂. Larger, faster or more radical changes, however, may well result in vegetation stress, rapid plant loss and desertification in certain circumstances [13].

The biological impacts of the Gotvand reservoir are felt in the areas of weeds, and environmental health including bilharzia [13], malaria and onchocerciasis[15]. Weeds of different plant species usually proliferate with the execution of water projects. These aquatic weeds have very serious impacts on water supply and other reservoir based economic activities. This has greatly contributed to the decline of fishing as an occupation in most settlements. Perhaps the most serious aspect of aquatic weed growth[16] is its direct impact on the incidence and spread of water-borne diseases such as schistosomiasis, encephalitis and filariasis.

Table 2. The potential impacts of dam on physical, biological and socio-economical environment

For each environmental effect place a cross (X) in one of the columns		Positive impact very likely	Positive impact possible	No impact	Negative impact possible	Negative impact very likely	No judgment possible at present	Comments
		A	B	C	D	E	F	
Hydrology	1-1 Low flow regime							
	1-2 Flood regime							
	1-3 Operation of dams	×						
	1-4 Fall of water table							
	1-5 Rise of water table				×			
Pollution	2-1 Solute dispersion				×			
	2-2 Toxic substances				×			
	2-3 Organic pollution				×			
	2-4 Anaerobic effects							
	2-5 Gas emissions							
Soils	3-1 Soil salinity							
	3-2 Soil properties							
	3-3 Saline groundwater							
	3-4 Saline drainage				×			
	3-5 Saline intrusion				×			
Sediments	4-1 Local erosion				×			
	4-2 Hinterland effect							
	4-3 River morphology							
	4-4 Channel regime							
	4-5 Sedimentation							
	4-6 Estuary erosion							
Ecology	5-1 Project lands							
	5-2 Water bodies							
	5-3 Surrounding area							
	5-4 Valleys & shores							
	5-5 Wetlands & plains							
	5-6 Rare species				×			
	5-7 Animal migration							
	5-8 Natural industry	×						
Socio-economic	6-1 Population change	×						
	6-2 Income amenity	×						
	6-3 Human migration					×		
	6-4 Resettlement				×			

5. Conclusions and recommendations

There are three main groups that require the water resource from the Gotvand Dam: Hydro-electric power generation, Agriculture, Industry and Tourism. In analyzing the environmental impact of Gotvand dam, the available maps together with land works were used. Furthermore, in the environmental impact analysis of the dam and its related installations, the combining method of Leopold and ICOLD matrix was used. All the implementing activities in connection with the dam construction, was predicted in the method, and after the land visit to the studying areas, a list of impacted environmental factors was made ready.

The discussions highlight specific avenues to improving the EIA procedure in Iran and much of the developing world. A contentious issue is the need to include local people and their experiential knowledge in the EIA process. Indigenous experiential knowledge not only has the potential to complement Western scientific knowledge in ways that would improve assessment studies; it could also encourage local participation and bottom-up approaches to environmental and planning decisions. The involvement of local people may also help the assessment team to understand local resource-use and nuances, and use local value sets to interpret, evaluate, and monitor project impacts on local

communities. If indigenous knowledge is to be preserved and passed from generation to generation, it will have to be recognized by institutions of power and influence. Policy makers would have to learn that indigenous knowledge is not just a relic of the past, but is something that is important now and will be worth having in the future. To achieve this, education must be geared toward the transmission from one generation to the next of the accumulated wisdom and knowledge of society, and the preparation of the young for effective participation in society's maintenance and development. In this sense, EIA could become part of the solution to the continued loss of indigenous knowledge by enhancing the participation of indigenous people in assessment studies.

The negative impacts of each activity on the environmental resources were then evaluated, and the results were examined. In this method, the environmental resources, which will be affected by “much” and “very much” negative impacts, have been identified. The activities, resulting in resources destruction, have been then specified. In the end, simple and implement able management mechanisms and strategies have been suggested to mitigate the negative impacts resulted from the project implementation. Thus, proper environmental management depends on the careful and complete implementation of these proposed mechanism.

Table 3. Environmental effects of the Gotvand Dam construction on biological aspect

task			Terrestrial and Aquatic Flora										Terrestrial and Aquatic fauna										
			E501	E502	E503	E504	E505	E506	E507	E508	E509	E601	E602	E603	E604	E605	E606	E607	E608	E609	E610		
water usage priorities			jungle	released and unused lands	grass plant growth	agricultural lands	organic plants	active plants	phytoplanktons	rare and extincting pla	other plants	mammels	birds	insects	Reptiles	economical fish	other fishes	macroinvertebrate	zooplanktons	micro-organisms	rare and extincting tyf		
Water Consumes	A101	irrigation	I				+3CPMY	+2CPMY		-1PPMY					+1PPMY		-1CPMY		+1PPMY	+1CPMY			
	A102	energy	II	+1PPMY			+1PPMY					+1PPMY	+1PPMY	-1PPMY									
	A103	regulating water							+1CPMY	+1CPMY										+1CPMY			
	A104	balancing river flow	III				+1CPMY	+1CPMY	+1CPMY	+1CPMY		+1PPMY	+2PPMY	+2PPMY		+2CPMY	+1CPMY	+1PPMY	+1PPMY	-1PPMY	+1PPMY		
	A105	industrial usages	III			-1PPMY		-1PPMY	-2PPMY	-2PPMY				-1CPMY	-1PPMY	-1PPMY	-1PPMY	-1PPMY	-1PPMY	-1PPMY			
	A106	marine transport																					
	A107	fire station																					
	A108	fishing	III						+1CPMN	+1CPMN		-1PPMY	+1CPMY			+2CPMY	+1PPMY			-1CPMN			
	A109	water sports								-1PPLY	-2PPLY		-1PPMY	-1PPMY	-1PPMY		-1PPMY	-1PPMY	-1PPMY	-1PPMY			
	A110	other consuptions																					
physical Factors	A201	being of reservoir dam		-1CPTY	-1CPTY	-1CPTY	+3CPMY					+1CPLY	+2CPLY	+1PPMY		+3CPMY	-1CPMY				-1CPTY		
	A202	dam reservoir		-3CPTY	-2CPMY	-2CPMY	-1CPMY	+3CPLY	+2PPMY	+2PPMY		-1CPLY	+2PPMY	+2PPMY	+1PPMY	+3CPMY	+1CPMY	+1PPMY	+2PPMY	+1CPMY	+1PPMY		
	A203	diversion system during construction		-1PPTY	-1CTY	-1CTY	-1CPY		-2CTY	-2CTY	-1PPTY	-1PTIY	-1PTIY	-1PTIY	-1CTY	-2CTY	-1CTY	-2CTY	-1CTY	-1CTY			
	A204	dam site construction		-1CPTY	-1CTIY	-2CTIY	-2CPTY	-2CPTY	-2CTIY	-2CTIY	-1PPTY	-1PTIY	-1PTIY	-2CTY	-1CTY	-1CTY	-1CTY	-2CTY	-1CTY	-1CTY	-1CTY	-1PTIY	
	A205	coffer dam		-1PPTY	-1PTIY	-1CTIY	-1PPTY	-1PPTY	-1CTIY	-1CTIY	-1PPTY	-1PTIY			-1PTIY		-1CTY	-2CTY		-1PTIY			
	A206	emporary and permanent buildings at the dam site		-1CPTY	-1PPTY	-1CPTY		-1PPTY	-1PPTY	-1PPIY		-1PPIY				-1CTY					-1PPTY		
	A207	deforesting and bush cutting at the dam site		-3CPTY	-1CPTY	-1CPTY		-3CPTY	-1PTIY	-1PTIY	-3CPTY	-2CPTY	-1CPIY	-2CPTY	-1CTY	-1CPTY		-1PPTY	-1CTY	-1PTIY	-1CTY	-2CPTY	
	A208	borrow area extraction		-2CPTY	-1CPTY	-2CPIY	-1PPTY	-2CPTY	-2CPTY	-2CTIY	-2PPTY	-2PPTY	-1PTIY	-1CPTY	-1CTY	-1CPTY	-1CTY	-2CTY	-1CTY	-2CTY		-1CPTY	
	A209	way for boyance solids																					
	A210	water conveyance channels to tourbines																					
	A211	water releaser				+2CPMY	+3CPMY	+2CPMY	+2CPMY	+2CPMY	+1CPMY	+1CPMY	+1PPMY	+1CPMY	+1CPMY	+1PPMY	+3CPMY	+3CPMY		+2CPMY		+1CPMY	
	A212	intact system and permanent diversion system		-3CPTY	-2CPMY	-1CPMY	+3CPMY		+1PPMY	+2PPMY	+1PPMY			+1PPMY	+1CPMY	+1PPMY	+3CPMY	-1PPMY	+1PPMY	+1CPMY	+1CPMY		
	A213	power lines																					
	A214	diversion dam and channel and water conveyanc		-1CPTY		+2CPLY	+2CPMY				-1PPLY		+1PPLY	+1CPLY	+1PPLY			-1PPLY	-1PLMY	-1PPMY		-1PPMY	
	A215	other factors																					
Affected Areas	A301	lmerged Lands		-2CPTY	-1CPTY	-1CPTY	-1CPTY	+1CPTY	+2CPTY	+1CPTY	-2PPTY	+1PPTY	-1CPIY	+1CPTY	+1CPLY	-1CPLY	+2CPLY	-1CPTY	+1PPMY	+2CPTY			
	A302	adjacent areas at reservoir		-3CPTY	-1CPTY	-1CPTY	-2CPTY	+1CPTY	+2CPTY	+2CPTY	-2PPTY	+1PPTY	-1CPIY	+1CPTY	+1CPMY	-2CPTY	+2CPLY	+2CPMY	-1CPMY	+2CPTY			
	A303	water level variations of reservoir		-1PPMY		-1PPMY		+2PPMY															
	A304	reservoir-upstream river				+1CPTY	-1PPMY		-1PPTY	-1PPTY										-1PPTY	-1PPTY		
	A305	reservoir-downstream river			-1PPMY	-1CPTY			+2CPMY	+1CPMY	+1CPMY	+1PPMY	+1CPMY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY	+1PPMY	+2CPMY	+2CPMY	+1PPMY	+2CPMY	+1CPMY	+1CPMY	
	A306	irrigation channels																					
	A307	groundwater					-1PPLN																
	A308	sea coasts																					
	A309	other lands																					
physical Restoration	A401	fish production management						+1PPMY	+1PPMY					+2PPMY			+3CPMY	+2CPMY		+2PPMY	+1PPMY	+1PPMY	
	A402	guarantee for safe-environmental overflow				+1CPMY		+2CPMY	+2CPMY	+2CPMY	+2CPMY	+2CPMY	+1PPMY	+2CPMY	+2CPMY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY	+3CPMY	+2CPMY	+3CPMY	+1CPMY	+1PPMY	
	A403	Tourism development		-1PPLY		-1PPLY	-1PPLY	-1PPLY	-1PPMY	-1PPMY	-1PPLY	-1PPLY	-1PPLY	-2PPLY		-1PPLY		-1PPMY	-1PPLY	-1PPMY	-1PPMY	-1PPMY	
	A404	controlling of water level variations		+1PPMY	+1PPMY		+1PPMY	+1PPMY	+1PPMY	+1PPMY				+1PPMY			+2CPMY	+2CPMY	+1PPMY	+2CPMY	+1CPMY		
	A405	Infrastructures		-2CPTY	-1PPTY	-1CPTY	-1PPTY		-1PPTY	-1PPTY	-1PPTY		-1CPIY	-2CPTY	-1CPTY	-1CPTY		-1PPTY		-1PPTY	-1CPTY	-1PPTY	
	A406	Foresting aroun the reservoir		+1CPMY		+1CPLY	+2CPMY	+1CPMN	+1CPMN	+1PPMN	+1PPMY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY	+1CPMN	+1CPMN	+1CPMN	+1CPMN	+1CPMN	-1CPMN	+1PPMY	
	A407	erosion control with terrace making, planting		+1CPMY		+1CPLY	+1CPMY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY	+1CPMN	+1PPMN	+1PPMY	+1CPMY	+1CPMN	+1CPMN	+1CPMN	+2CPMN	+2CPMN	+1CPMN	-2CTY	+1CPMN	+1PPMN	
	A408	dragging						-2CTIY	-3CTIY	-2CTIY	-2PTIY	-2PTIY		-1PTIY	-2PTIY	-1PTIY	-2CTY	-2CTY	-1PTIY	-1PTIY	-2CTY	-2PPTY	
	A409	dam's spillway		+1PPMY	+1PPMY	+1PPMY	+2PPTY	+1PPMY	-1CTIY	-1CTIY	-1PPTY			-1CTIY	-1PTIY	-2CTY	-2PTIY	-1OTLY	-2PPMN	-1PTIY	-1PPTY		
	A410	Chech dam				-1CPMN	-1CPMN	-1CPMN	-1PPMN	-1PPMN	-1PPMN	-1PPLN			-1PPLN		-1PPLN	-2PPMY	-2PPMN			-1PPLN	
	A411	compensative reservoirs for controlling the river				+1PPMY	+2PPMY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY	+1PPMN	+2PPMY	+1PPMY	+1PPMN	+1PPMN	+1PPMY								
	A412	boom to serve the dam equilibrium against floating			+1PPMY																		
	A413	controlling and conservation of the basin		+1PPMN		+2CPMN		+1PPMN	+2CPMN	+2CPMN	+1PPLN	+1PPLN	+1PPMY	+2CPMY	+3CPMY	+1PPMN	+2CPMY	+2CPMY	+2CPMY	+2CPMY	-2CPMN	+2CPMN	+1PPMN
	A414	water detoxification				-1PPLN	-1PPLN	-1CPMN	-1PPMN	-1PPMN	-1PPLN				-1PPLN	-1PPMN					-1PPMN	-2CPMY	
	A415	improve the industries and preventing their damad		+1PPMN		+1PPMN	+2CPMN	+1CPMY	+2CPMY	+2CPMY	+1PPMY	+1PPMN		+1PPMN			+2CPMY			+2CPMY	+2CPMY	+1PPMN	
	A416	rehabitation				+1PPMY	+2CPMY										+1PPMY					+1CPMY	
	A417	other damage refining																					
Legal Acts	501	taxes																					
	502	reassessment to the land value					+2CPMY																
	503	planning for civilian and rural measures		+2CPMY		+1CPMY		+1CPMY	+2CPMY	+2CPMY	+2PPMY	+1PPMY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY	-1CPMY	+1CPMY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY	+1CPMY	+1PPMY	

Table5. Environmental effects of the Gotvand Dam construction on socioeconomic aspects

task			Social, Economical and Cultural Effects																		
			E101	E102	E103	E104	E105	E106	E107	E108	E109	E110	E111	E112	E113	E114	E115	E116			
			water useage priorities	industrial,trade problems of dam construction	employment	tourism	agriculture and	road access	Local Income	Increase in land value	improvement and acceptance of local people	recreation	Heritages and Cultural Indexes	Aesthetics	water supply	Land Purchase and Investment	reduction and growth	Natural Disaster Protec	Secondary Effects on Local People and Labor		
Water Consumes	A101	irrigation	I	+2CPMY	+2CPMY	+1PPLY	+3CPMY		+2CPMY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY	+1CPMY				+1CPLY	+2CPLY				
	A102	energy	II	+2CPMY	+3CPMY	+2CPMY	+1PPMY		+2CPMY	+1CPMY	+1CPMY	+3CPMY					+3CPLY				
	A103	regulating water																			
	A104	balancing river flow	III	+1PPLN	+2CPMY	+1PPLY	+3CPMY		+1CPLY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY	+1PPMY				+1CPMY	+2CPMY	+3CPMY			
	A105	industrial usages	III	+2CPMY	+1CPLY				+2CPLY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY					-2CPMY	+1CPMY				
	A106	marine transport																			
	A107	fire station																			
	A108	fishing	III		+1CPMY	+2CPMY			+1CPMY	+1CPMY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY	+1CPLY			+1CPMY	+1CPMY				
	A109	water sports		+1PPLN	+1CPMY	+3CPLY			+1CPMY	+1CPMY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY	+1CPLY			+1CPMY	+1CPMY		-1CPMY		
	A110	other consuptions																			
physical Factors	A201	being of reservoir dam			+3CPLY	+2PPLY	+3CPMY	-1CTIY	+2CPMY	+2CPLY	+2CPMY		-1PPIM				+1CPMY	+3CPLY			
	A202	dam reservoir		+2CPLY	+2CPLY	+1PPLY	+3CPMY		+1CPMY	+2CPLY	+1CPMY	+2CPLY	-1CPIY	+2CPMY			+1CPLY	+3CPLY	-2PPLY		
	A203	diversion system during construction									-1CTIY			-1CTIY				-1PTTY	-1PTIN		
	A204	dam site construction				-1CTIY	-1CTIY	-1CTIY			-1CTIY	-1PTIN	-1CPIY	-2CTIY			-1CPIY	-1CTTY	-2CTIY		
	A205	coffer dam		+1PTIN					+1PTIN					-1CTIY							
	A206	temporary and permanent buildings at the dam site		+1PTIN	+2PTIN				+1CPIN		-1CTIY		-1CPIY	-1PTIY						-2PTIY	
	A207	deforesting and bush cutting at the dam site				-1CTIY	-1CTIY	-1CTIY	-2CTIY		-2CTIY	-1CTIY		-2CTIY						-2CTTY	
	A208	borrow area extraction		+2CTMY	+2CTMY	-1CTIY	-1CTIY	+1PPIN	+1PTIN					-1CTIY						-1CTIY	
	A209	way for boyance solids																			
	A210	water conveyance channels to tourbines																			
	A211	water releaser			+1PPLM	+1CPLY	+2CPMY		+1PPLN		+1CPLN	+1CPLY		+1CPMY						-1PPMY	-2PPLY
	A212	intact system and permanent diversion system									+1CPLN	+2CPLY									
	A213	power lines		-1CTTY				+1CPMN						+1PPMN							
	A214	diversion dam and channel and water conveyance			+2CPMY	+1PPLY	+2CPLY		+1CPMY	+1CPLY	-1CPMY							+1CPLY	+2CPLY		
	A215	other factors																			
Affected Areas	A301	Imerged Surfaces				-1PTIN	-1CTIY			-1CPIY	-1CPIY	-1CPIY					-1CPIY	-1CTIY	+2CPMY	-1CTIY	
	A302	adjacent areas at reservoir		-1CTIY	-1CTTY	-1PTIN	-1CTIY	-1CPIY	-1CTIY	-2CPIY	-1CPIY	-1CPIY	-2CPIY	+2CPMY			-1CPIY	-1CTIY	+2CPMY	-2CPMY	
	A303	water level variations of reservoir																			
	A304	reservoir-upstream river																			
	A305	reservoir-downstream river		-1PPLN	-1PPLN								-1PPLY	-1CPMY			-1CPLY		-1CPMY		
	A306	irrigation channels																			
	A307	groundwater		-1PPLN	-1PPLN		-1PPLY				-1PPLN						-1PPLY			-1PPLY	
	A308	sea coasts																			
	A309	other lands																			
physical Restoration	A401	fish production management		+2CPLY	+2CPLY		+2CPMY		+2CPMY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY					+2CPMY	+2CPLY				
	A402	guarantee for safe-environmental overflow		+1CPMY	+1CPLY	+2CPLY	+1CPMY		+1CPLY	+1CPMY	+2CPMY		+2CPMY		+1CPMY	+2CPLY					
	A403	Tourism development		+2CPLY	+2CPLY	+2CPLY		+1CPLN	+2CPLY	+1CPLY	+2CPLY	+2CPMY	+2CPMY				+2PPLY	+2CPLY			
	A404	controlling of water level variations			+1PPLN	+1PPLN	+1CPMY		+1CPLN	+1CPLY	+1CPLN						+2CPMY		+2CPMY		
	A405	Infrastructures		+2CPLY	+2CPLY	+2CPLY	+2CPMY	+2CPLY	+1CPLN	+2CPLY	+2CPLY	+1PPMN	+1CPLY			+1CPMY	+1CPLY	-1PPLN			
	A406	Foresting aroun the reservoir		+1CPLN	+1PPLN	+2CPLY															
	A407	erosion control with terrace making, planting			+1PPLN	+1CPLN	+1CPLN		+1PPLN		+1CPMN	+1PPMN	+1PPLN							+2CPLY	
	A408	dragging		-1PPMN																	
	A409	dam's spillway		+1CPMY	+1CPLY	+1PPLN			+1PPLN	+1CPMY	+1CPMY	+1CPMN				+1CPMY		+1CPMY			
	A410	Chech dam			+1PPLN	+1PPLN	+1CPLN													+1CPMY	
	A411	compensative reservoirs for controlling the river		+1PPMN	+1PPLN	+1CPLN			+1CPLN	+1PPMN	+2CPMY	+1CPMY		+2CPMY		+1CPLY	+1CPMY		+2CPMY		
	A412	dam to serve the dam equilibrium against floatin																			
	A413	controlling and conservation of the basin								+1CPLN		+1CPLN								+2CPLY	
	A414	water detoxification																			
A415	improve the industries and preventing their dam		+1CPLY	+2CPLY	+1CPLN		+1CPLN	+1CPLY	+2CPLY	+2CPLY	+2CPLY					+2CPLY	+2CPLY	+1CPLN	+1PPMY		
A416	rehabitation		-2CTIY	+2CPLY	+1CPLN	+2CPLY	+1CPLN	+2CPLY	+2CPLY	+2CPLY	+1PPMN	+2CPLY	+1CPMY			+1CPMY	+2CPMY		+1CPMY		
A417	other damage refining																				
Legal Acts	501	taxes																			
	502	reassessment to the land value					+2CPMY		+2CPMY		+2CPMY					+2CPMY	+2CPLY				
	503	planning for civilian and rural measures		+1CPLN	+1CPLN	+2CPMY	+1CPMN		+1CPLN		+1CPMY								+2CPMY	+1CPMY	

References

1. ICOLD. 1980. Dams and the environment. ICOLD Bulletin 35. Paris, France
2. Canter, L. W. 1977 Environmental Impact Assessment. McGraw-Hill: New York. 331 pp.9
3. Bernacsek Garry M. et al. 2001, "Environmental Issues, Capacity and Information Base for Management of Fisheries Affected by Dams", Dams, fish and fisheries: Opportunities, challenges and conflict resolution, ISBN 92-5-104694-8
4. World Bank Environment Department (WBED) 1996, Resettlement and Development: The Bank wide Review of Projects Involving Involuntary Resettlement, 1986-1993. Environment Department Paper No. 032, Resettlement Series. Washington, D.C.: World Bank,.
5. Scudder, T. 1996. 'Development-Induced Impoverishment, Resistance and River-Basin Development', in Christopher McDowell (ed.), Understanding Impoverishment, Providence, Oxford: Berghahn Books.
6. AEC 1973 General environmental sitting guides for nuclear power plants, Draft for discussion, U.S. Atom Energy Comm., Washington, D.C. 130 pp.
7. Brown, A L, and R Thérivel 2000, "Principles to guide the development of strategic environmental assessment methodology", Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 18(3), September, pages 183–189
8. Bishop, A. B. 1973 Public participation in environmental impact assessment, Paper presented at Eng. Foundation Conf. on Preparation of Env. Impact Statements, New England College, Henniker, New Hampshire, U.S.A., July 29-Aug.3.
9. Ahmad. Y. J. and Sammy, G. K. 1985, Guidelines to Environmental Impact Assessment in Developing Countries, London, Hodder and Stoughton.
10. Yardley, J. 2005. Squabbling continues over building of large dam in China: pro-development advocates vs. environmentalists.
11. Soemarwoto, O. 1974 Population and environment. In: Third Seminar on Biology (Indonesia). In Indonesian with English summary .
12. Dooley, J. E. 1978 A framework for environmental impact identification. Unpublished manuscript. 8 pp.
13. Joshi, Himanshu, and M. LATIF. 2004. "Environmental Impact Assessment of Water Resource Projects with Matrix and Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Methods: A Case Study." Journal of Environmental Systems 30:207–31. doi: 10.2190/E7BK-WAHF-QHF5-V6P6.
14. Siddiqui, N. A., R. N. Shukla, and A. Ziauddin. 2008. "Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for a Water Resource Project." 14:707–11.
15. Ramachandran, A., R. R. Krishnamurthy, Muthumanickam Jayaprakash, and Shanmuga Sundharam. 2018. "Environmental Impact Assessment of Surface Water and Groundwater Quality Due to Flood Hazard in Adyar River Bank." Acta Ecologica Sinica 39. doi: 10.1016/j.chnaes.2018.08.008.
16. Adgolign, T. B., and S. Rao. 2014. "Environmental Impact Assessment in Sustainable Water Resources Development: Major Issues of Consideration." Science, Technology and Arts Research Journal 3(2):180. doi: 10.4314/star.v3i2.24.